Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,742	KINME ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NAHID AMIRI	3679
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>NAHID AMIRI</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Obert Chu</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>5 September 2008</u>	Time: <u>3:30 P.M.</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:  ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed the Applicants that there was an error in the Office action mailed out on 6 March 2008 causing some confusion as to whether or not the action was Non-Final or Final. The previous Office action should have been clearly indicated to be a Non-Final Rejection instead of implying that it was a Final Rejection. Accordingly, for clarification purposes, the Rejection of 6 March 2008 is clearly stated herein to be a Non-Final Rejection. Applicants' response filed 6 June 2008 has been entered.